

**STATE OF TENNESSEE
COUNTY OF HAMBLLEN
CORPORATION OF MORRISTOWN
OCTOBER 21, 2008**

The City Council for the City of Morristown, Hamblen County, Tennessee, met in regular session at the regular meeting place of the Council in the Morristown City Center at 4:00 p.m., Tuesday, October 21, 2008 with Honorable Mayor Barbara C. "Sami" Barile presiding and the following named Councilmembers present: Claude Jinks, Frank McGuffin, William Rooney, Kay Senter and Rick Trent; Absent: Councilmember Mel Tucker.

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to approve the October 7, 2008 minutes as circulated; Councilmember McGuffin seconded the motion and all voted "aye".

Mayor Barile read and presented a proclamation recognizing October 23-31 as "Red Ribbon Week" to Charlotte Pressley, Officer Hickey and other representatives from the Morristown Housing Authority.

A public hearing was held on the following captioned Ordinance No. 3344. Councilmember Senter made a motion to **defer action** on final adoption of said Ordinance until the November 4, 2008 Council meeting; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted "aye".

**Ordinance No. 3344
Entitled an Ordinance to amend the Municipal Code,
Title 12, by adopting certain amendments thereto
relative to Building, Housing, Gas, Plumbing and Fire
Prevention Codes.**

A public hearing was conducted on the Recreation Trails Program Grant for walking trail development at Wayne Hansard Park. {There were no public comments during the public hearing.}

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to award the bid for Police Pursuit Vehicles (5 new & 6 refurbished) to McNelly Whaley in the amount of \$188,226.60; Councilmember Rooney seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted "aye".

Councilmember Senter made a motion to approve the following Resolution No. 27-08; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted "aye".

**RESOLUTION NO. 27-08
A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AN IDENTITY THEFT
POLICY FOR THE CITY OF MORRISTOWN, TENNESSEE**

WHEREAS, The Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003, an amendment to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, required rules regarding identity theft protection to be promulgated; and

WHEREAS, Those rules become effective November 1, 2008, and require municipal utilities and other departments to implement an identity theft program and policy, and

WHEREAS, The City of Morristown has determined that the following policy is in the best interest of the municipality and its citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City of Morristown that the following is hereby approved:

IDENTITY THEFT POLICY

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND

The risk to the municipality, its employees and customers from data loss and identity theft is of significant concern to the municipality and can be reduced only through the combined efforts of every employee and contractor.

SECTION 2: PURPOSE

The municipality adopts this sensitive information policy to help protect employees, customers, contractors and the municipality from damages related to the loss or misuse of sensitive information.

This policy will:

1. Define sensitive information;
2. Describe the physical security of data when it is printed on paper;
3. Describe the electronic security of data when stored and distributed; and
4. Place the municipality in compliance with state and federal law regarding identity theft protection.

This policy enables the municipality to protect existing customers, reducing risk from identity fraud, and minimize potential damage to the municipality from fraudulent new accounts. The program will help the municipality:

1. Identify risks that signify potentially fraudulent activity within new or existing covered accounts;
2. Detect risks when they occur in covered accounts;
3. Respond to risks to determine if fraudulent activity has occurred and act if fraud has been attempted or committed; and
4. Update the program periodically, including reviewing the accounts that are covered and the identified risks that are part of the program.

SECTION 3: SCOPE

This policy and protection program applies to employees, contractors, consultants, temporary workers, and other workers at the municipality, including all personnel affiliated with third parties.

SECTION 4: POLICY

4.A: Sensitive Information Policy

4.A.1: Definition of Sensitive Information

Sensitive information includes the following items whether stored in electronic or printed format:

4.A.1.a: Credit card information, including any of the following:

1. Credit card number (in part or whole)
2. Credit card expiration date
3. Cardholder name
4. Cardholder address

4.A.1.b: Tax identification numbers, including:

1. Social Security number

2. Business identification number
3. Employer identification numbers

4.A.1.c: Payroll information, including, among other information:

1. Paychecks
2. Pay stubs

4.A.1.d: Cafeteria plan check requests and associated paperwork

4.A.1.e: Medical information for any employee or customer, including but not limited to:

1. Doctor names and claims
2. Insurance claims
3. Prescriptions
4. Any related personal medical information

4.A.1.f: Other personal information belonging to any customer, employee or contractor, examples of which include:

1. Date of birth
2. Address
3. Phone numbers
4. Maiden name
5. Names
6. Customer number

4.A.1.g: Municipal personnel are encouraged to use common sense judgment in securing confidential information to the proper extent. Furthermore, this section should be read in conjunction with the

Tennessee Public Records Act and the municipality's open records policy. If an employee is uncertain of the sensitivity of a particular piece of information, he/she should contact their supervisor. In the event that the municipality cannot resolve a conflict between this policy and the Tennessee Public Records Act, the municipality will contact the Tennessee Office of Open Records.

4.A.2: Hard Copy Distribution

Each employee and contractor performing work for the municipality will comply with the following policies:

1. File cabinets, desk drawers, overhead cabinets, and any other storage space containing documents with sensitive information will be locked when not in use.
2. Storage rooms containing documents with sensitive information and record retention areas will be locked at the end of each workday or when unsupervised.
3. Desks, workstations, work areas, printers and fax machines, and common shared work areas will be cleared of all documents containing sensitive information when not in use.
4. Whiteboards, dry-erase boards, writing tablets, etc. in common shared work areas will be erased, removed, or shredded when not in use.
5. When documents containing sensitive information are discarded they will be placed inside a locked shred bin or immediately shredded using a mechanical cross cut or Department of Defense (DOD)-approved shredding device. Locked shred bins are labeled "*Confidential paper shredding and recycling.*" Municipal records, however, may only be destroyed in accordance with the city's records retention policy.

4.A.3: Electronic Distribution

Each employee and contractor performing work for the municipality will comply with the following policies:

1. Internally, sensitive information may be transmitted using approved municipal e-mail. All sensitive information must be encrypted when stored in an electronic format.

2. Any sensitive information sent externally must be encrypted and password protected and only to approved recipients. Additionally, a statement such as this should be included in the e-mail:

“This message may contain confidential and/or proprietary information and is intended for the person/entity to whom it was originally addressed. Any use by others is strictly prohibited.”

SECTION 5: ADDITIONAL IDENTITY THEFT PREVENTION PROGRAM

If the municipality maintains certain covered accounts pursuant to federal legislation, the municipality may include the additional program details.

5.A: Covered accounts

A covered account includes any account that involves or is designed to permit multiple payments or transactions. Every new and existing customer account that meets the following criteria is covered by this program:

1. Business, personal and household accounts for which there is a reasonably foreseeable risk of identity theft; or
2. Business, personal and household accounts for which there is a reasonably foreseeable risk to the safety or soundness of the municipality from identity theft, including financial, operational, compliance, reputation, or litigation risks.

5.B: Red flags

5.B.1: The following red flags are potential indicators of fraud. Any time a red flag, or a situation closely resembling a red flag, is apparent, it should be investigated for verification.

1. Alerts, notifications or warnings from a consumer reporting agency;
2. A fraud or active duty alert included with a consumer report;
3. A notice of credit freeze from a consumer reporting agency in response to a request for a consumer report; or
4. A notice of address discrepancy from a consumer reporting agency as defined in § 334.82(b) of the Fairness and Accuracy in Credit Transactions Act.

5.B.2: Red flags also include consumer reports that indicate a pattern of

activity inconsistent with the history and usual pattern of activity of an applicant or customer, such as:

- A recent and significant increase in the volume of inquiries;
- An unusual number of recently established credit relationships;
- A material change in the use of credit, especially with respect to recently established credit relationships; or
- An account that was closed for cause or identified for abuse of account privileges by a financial institution or creditor.

5.C: Suspicious documents

5.C.1: Documents provided for identification that appear to have been altered or forged.

5.C.2: The photograph or physical description on the identification is not consistent with the appearance of the applicant or customer presenting the identification.

5.C.3: Other information on the identification is not consistent with information provided by the person opening a new covered account or customer presenting the identification.

5.C.4: Other information on the identification is not consistent with readily accessible information that is on file with the municipality, such as a signature card or a recent check.

5.C.5: An application appears to have been altered or forged, or gives the appearance of having been destroyed and reassembled.

5.D: Suspicious personal identifying information

5.D.1: Personal identifying information provided is inconsistent when compared against external information sources used by the municipality. For example:

- The address does not match any address in the consumer report;
- The Social Security number (SSN) has not been issued or is listed on the Social Security Administration's Death Master File; or

- Personal identifying information provided by the customer is not consistent with other personal identifying information provided by the customer. For example, there is a lack of correlation between the SSN range and date of birth.

5.D.2: Personal identifying information provided is associated with known fraudulent activity as indicated by internal or third-party sources used by the municipality. For example, the address on an application is the same as the address provided on a fraudulent application

5.D.3: Personal identifying information provided is of a type commonly associated with fraudulent activity as indicated by internal or third-party sources used by the municipality. For example:

- The address on an application is fictitious, a mail drop, or a prison; or
- The phone number is invalid or is associated with a pager or answering service.

5.D.4: The SSN provided is the same as that submitted by other persons opening an account or other customers.

5.D.5: The address or telephone number provided is the same as or similar to the address or telephone number submitted by an unusually large number of other customers or other persons opening accounts.

5.D.6: The customer or the person opening the covered account fails to provide all required personal identifying information on an application or in response to notification that the application is incomplete.

5.D.7: Personal identifying information provided is not consistent with personal identifying information that is on file with the municipality.

5.D.8: When using security questions (mother's maiden name, pet's name, etc.), the person opening the covered account or the customer cannot provide authenticating information beyond that which generally would be available from a wallet or consumer report.

5.E: Unusual use of, or suspicious activity related to, the covered account

5.E.1: Shortly following the notice of a change of address for a covered account, the municipality receives a request for new, additional, or replacement goods or services, or for the addition of authorized users on the account.

5.E.2: A new revolving credit account is used in a manner commonly associated with known patterns of fraud patterns. For example, the customer fails to make the first payment or makes an initial payment but no subsequent payments

5.E.3: A covered account is used in a manner that is not consistent with established patterns of activity on the account. There is, for example:

- Nonpayment when there is no history of late or missed payments;
- A material change in purchasing or usage patterns

5.E.4: A covered account that has been inactive for a reasonably lengthy period of time is used (taking into consideration the type of account, the expected pattern of usage and other relevant factors).

5.E.5: Mail sent to the customer is returned repeatedly as undeliverable although transactions continue to be conducted in connection with the customer's covered account.

5.E.6: The municipality is notified that the customer is not receiving paper account statements.

5.E.7: The municipality is notified of unauthorized charges or transactions in connection with a customer's covered account.

5.E.8: The municipality receives notice from customers, victims of identity theft, law enforcement authorities, or other persons regarding possible identity theft in connection with covered accounts held by the municipality.

5.E.9: The municipality is notified by a customer, a victim of identity theft, a law enforcement authority, or any other person that it has opened a fraudulent account for a person engaged in identity theft.

SECTION 6: RESPONDING TO RED FLAGS

6.A: Once potentially fraudulent activity is detected, an employee must act quickly as a rapid appropriate response can protect customers and the municipality from damages and loss.

6.A.1: Once potentially fraudulent activity is detected, gather all related documentation and write a description of the situation. Present this information to the designated authority for determination.

6.A.2: The designated authority will complete additional authentication to

determine whether the attempted transaction was fraudulent or authentic.

6.B: If a transaction is determined to be fraudulent, appropriate actions must be taken immediately. Actions may include:

1. Canceling the transaction;
2. Notifying and cooperating with appropriate law enforcement;
3. Determining the extent of liability of the municipality; and
4. Notifying the actual customer that fraud has been attempted.

SECTION 7: PERIODIC UPDATES TO PLAN

7.A: At periodic intervals established in the program, or as required, the program will be re-evaluated to determine whether all aspects of the program are up to date and applicable in the current business environment.

7.B: Periodic reviews will include an assessment of which accounts are covered by the program.

7.C: As part of the review, red flags may be revised, replaced or eliminated. Defining new red flags may also be appropriate.

7.D: Actions to take in the event that fraudulent activity is discovered may also require revision to reduce damage to the municipality and its customers.

SECTION 8: PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

8.A: Involvement of management

1. The Identity Theft Prevention Program shall not be operated as an extension to existing fraud prevention programs, and its importance warrants the highest level of attention.
2. The Identity Theft Prevention Program is the responsibility of the governing body. Approval of the initial plan must be appropriately documented and maintained.
3. Operational responsibility of the program is delegated to James H. Crumley, City Administrator.

8.B: Staff training

1. Staff training shall be conducted for all employees, officials and contractors for whom it is reasonably foreseeable that they may come into contact with accounts or personally identifiable information that may constitute a risk to the municipality or its customers.
2. James H. Crumley, City Administrator is responsible for ensuring identity theft training for all requisite employees and contractors.
3. Employees must receive annual training in all elements of this policy.
4. To ensure maximum effectiveness, employees may continue to receive additional training as changes to the program are made.

8.C: Oversight of service provider arrangements

1. It is the responsibility of the municipality to ensure that the activities of all service providers are conducted in accordance with reasonable policies and procedures designed to detect, prevent, and mitigate the risk of identity theft.
2. A service provider that maintains its own identity theft prevention program, consistent with the guidance of the red flag rules and validated by appropriate due diligence, may be considered to be meeting these requirements.
3. Any specific requirements should be specifically addressed in the appropriate contract arrangements.

This resolution will take effect immediately upon its passage, the public welfare requiring it.

Approved this 21st day of October 2008

Mayor

Attest:

City Administrator/Recorder

Councilmember McGuffin made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3345 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008. Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, Councilmembers Jinks, McGuffin, Rooney, Senter and Mayor Barile voted “aye”; Councilmember Trent “abstained” due to conflict of interest.

Ordinance No. 3345

Entitled an Ordinance to amend the Municipal Code of the City of Morristown, Tennessee, Appendix B. {Rezoning of property at 2200 Morningside Drive, Hamblen County Tax Map 34F, Group G, Parcel 7, from Medium Density Residential (R-2) to Office, Medical & Professional (OMP).}

Councilmember McGuffin made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3346 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Ordinance No. 3346

Entitled an Ordinance to annex certain territory and to incorporate same within the Corporate Boundaries of the City of Morristown, Tennessee. {Annexation of properties on Benton Hale Road and S. Davy Crockett Parkway (red area) with the Zoning Designation of Single Family Residential (R-1) and Intermediate Business (IB).}

Councilmember Trent made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3347 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008; Councilmember McGuffin seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Ordinance No. 3347

Entitled an Ordinance to annex certain territory and to incorporate same within the Corporate Boundaries of the City of Morristown, Tennessee. {Annexation of properties on Cove Road and Old Witt Road (yellow area) with the Zoning Designation of Single Family Residential (R-1).}

Councilmember Trent made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3348 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Ordinance No. 3348

Entitled an Ordinance to annex certain territory and to incorporate same within the Corporate Boundaries of the City of Morristown, Tennessee. {Annexation of properties on Witt Road (blue area) with the Zoning Designation of Single Family Residential (R-1) and Heavy Industrial (HI).}

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3349 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008; Councilmember McGuffin seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Ordinance No. 3349

Entitled an Ordinance to annex certain territory and to incorporate same within the Corporate Boundaries of the City of Morristown, Tennessee. {Annexation of properties on Witt Road (purple area) with the Zoning Designation of Single Family Residential (R-1) and Heavy Industrial (HI).}

Councilmember Senter made a motion to adopt the following captioned Ordinance No. 3350 on first reading and schedule a public hearing relative to final passage of said Ordinance for November 4, 2008; Councilmember Trent seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Ordinance No. 3350

Being an Ordinance to amend the Morristown Municipal Code, Title 12, Chapter 1 – Building Code (regulation of the demolition of buildings).

Jim Crumley, City Administrator, appointed Mayor Barile for another three-year term on the Solid Waste Disposal Board; term to expire 11/1/11. Councilmember Senter made a motion to approve the appointment; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Councilmember Rooney made a motion to approve the adoption of the Morristown Parks & Recreation’s Policy Handbook and updated fee structure for fee & charges; Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to approve two additional ranks in the Police Department – one Operational Major and one Administrative Major. Councilmember Rooney seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Councilmember Rooney made a motion to authorize submission of a grant application to TDEC/TVA to establish public awareness on stream health and water quality. Councilmember Jinks seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to initiate the use of eminent domain against the City of Morristown for the Stetzer Park property in order to create a deed which will be needed for disposal of the property when the park is closed. Councilmember Rooney seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Councilmember Jinks made a motion to confirm Chief Overholt’s disciplinary action of a one day suspension of a police officer involved in a traffic accident; Councilmember Trent seconded the motion and upon roll call, all voted “aye”.

Mayor Barile adjourned the October 21, 2008 City Council meeting.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Administrator